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Outline

• Review current neurology program requirements with regard to quality of care and patient safety
• Discuss how programs are monitored for compliance about quality and safety
• Discuss necessity, or lack thereof, of quality improvement projects
• Provide summary thoughts about quality and safety in residency
Current Neurology Program Requirements
IV.A.5.c) Practice-based Learning & Improvement

Residents must demonstrate the ability to investigate and evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and life-long learning. (Outcome)

Residents are expected to develop skills and habits to be able to meet the following goals:

- IV.A.5.c).(4) systematically analyze practice using quality improvement methods, and implement changes with the goal of practice improvement; (Outcome)
IV.A.5.f) Systems-based Practice

Residents must demonstrate an awareness of and responsiveness to the larger context and system of health care, as well as the ability to call effectively on other resources in the system to provide optimal health care. (Outcome)

Residents are expected to:

- IV.A.5.f).(4) **advocate for quality patient care and optimal patient care systems**; (Outcome)
- IV.A.5.f).(5) **work in interprofessional teams to enhance patient safety and improve patient care quality**; and, (Outcome)
- IV.A.5.f).(6) **participate in identifying system errors and implementing potential systems solutions**. (Outcome)
VI. Resident Duty Hours in the Learning and Working Environment

VI.A. Professionalism, Personal Responsibility, and Patient Safety

• VI.A.2. The program must be committed to and responsible for promoting patient safety and resident well-being in a supportive educational environment. (Core)

• VI.A.3. The program director must ensure that residents are integrated and actively participate in interdisciplinary clinical quality improvement and patient safety programs. (Core)

• VI.A.4. The learning objectives of the program must:
  • VI.A.4.a) be accomplished through an appropriate blend of supervised patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic educational events; and, (Core)

• VI.A.5. The program director and institution must ensure a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. (Core)
VI. Resident Duty Hours in the Learning and Working Environment

- VI.A.6. Residents and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding and acceptance of their personal role in the following:
  - VI.A.6.a) **assurance of the safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care**; (Outcome)
  - VI.A.6.c) assurance of their **fitness for duty**; (Outcome)
  - VI.A.6.d) management of their time before, during, and after clinical assignments; (Outcome)
  - VI.A.6.e) recognition of impairment, including illness and fatigue, in themselves and in their peers; (Outcome)
  - VI.A.6.f) attention to lifelong learning; (Outcome)
  - VI.A.6.g) **the monitoring of their patient care performance improvement indicators**; and, (Outcome)
  - VI.A.6.h) honest and accurate reporting of duty hours, patient outcomes, and clinical experience data. (Outcome)
VI.B. Transitions of Care

• VI.B.1. Programs must design clinical assignments to minimize the number of transitions in patient care. (Core)

• VI.B.2. **Sponsoring institutions and programs must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient safety.** (Core)

• VI.B.3. Programs must ensure that residents are competent in communicating with team members in the hand-over process. (Outcome)

• VI.B.4. The sponsoring institution must ensure the availability of schedules that inform all members of the health care team of attending physicians and residents currently responsible for each patient’s care. (Detail)
Development of Quality and Safety in ACGME Common Program Requirements since 1993

• 1993 through 1999:
  • No stated requirement regarding patient safety, quality care, errors
  • The words “safety” and “errors” don’t even appear
  • “Quality” only mentioned in the context of program and curriculum

• 2005:
  • The term “safety” makes its appearance, in the context of duty hours
    • “Duty hour assignments must recognize that faculty and residents collectively have responsibility for the safety and welfare of patients.”

• 2007:
  • Requirements appear about patient safety, system errors, quality patient care

• 2008-2016:
  • Minor revisions, additions, recategorization
How are Programs Monitored for Compliance about Quality and Safety?
Annual ACGME Resident Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Safety/Teamwork</th>
<th>% National Compliant</th>
<th>National Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tell patients of respective roles of faculty and residents</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture reinforces patient safety responsibility</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participated in quality improvement</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information (not) lost during shift changes or patient transfers</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in interprofessional teams</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectively work in interprofessional teams</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annual ACGME Faculty Survey

Patient Safety

- Information not lost during shift changes or patient transfers
  - % National Compliant: 91%
  - National Mean: 4.2

- Tell patients of respective roles of faculty and residents/fellows
  - % National Compliant: 91%
  - National Mean: 4.5

- Culture reinforces patient safety responsibility
  - % National Compliant: 96%
  - National Mean: 4.6

- Residents/fellows participate in quality improvement or patient safety activities
  - % National Compliant: 92%
  - National Mean: 4.6
ACGME Web Accreditation Data System (WebADs) Questions

• What percentage of residents participate in patient safety programs during the current academic year?
• What percentage of residents participate in interprofessional clinical quality improvement programs to improve health outcomes?
The Neurology Milestones

• **Level 1**
  - Level of ability within a domain that a neurology resident has when entering PGY2 training (or will soon acquire)

• **Levels 2 and 3***
  - Levels of ability within domain that resident should pass through as he/she progresses through program

• **Level 4***
  - Minimum level of competence within that domain required for resident to enter unsupervised practice

• **Level 5***
  - Extra level of competence within that domain some neurologists may acquire, even without subspecialty training

*Levels are not intended to correlate with any specific year of training.
Global Domains
(Patient Care/Medical Knowledge)

- Neurologic History
- Neurologic Examination
- Neurologic Localization
- Neurologic Formulation
- Diagnostic Investigation
- Management
Disease Category Domains
(Patient Care/Medical Knowledge)

- Movement Disorders
- Neuromuscular Disease
- Cerebrovascular Disease
- Cognitive Behavioral Disorders
- Epilepsy
- Demyelinating Disease
- Headache
- Neurology of Systemic Disease
- Child Neurology (for the Adult Neurologist)
- Neuro-Oncology
- Psychiatry for the neurologist
Technical/Procedural Domains
(Patient Care/Medical Knowledge)

- Neuroimaging
- EEG
- EMG
- Lumbar Puncture
# Movement Disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>L3</th>
<th>L4</th>
<th>L5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Movement Disorders</strong></td>
<td>Recognizes when a patient may have a movement disorder</td>
<td>Recognize movement disorder phenomenology and categories (hypokinetic and hyperkinetic)</td>
<td>Diagnose and manage common movement disorders</td>
<td>Recognize uncommon movement disorders</td>
<td>Diagnose and manage uncommon movement disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recognize movement disorder emergencies</td>
<td>Manage movement disorder emergencies</td>
<td>Recognize when to refer a movement disorder patient for a surgical evaluation or other interventional therapies</td>
<td>Engage in scholarly activity in movement disorders (e.g. teaching, research)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The General Competencies

- Professionalism (2 domains)
- Interpersonal and Communication Skills (2)
- Practice-Based Learning and Improvement (2)
- Systems-Based Practice (2)
Table 1. Four Selected General Milestones in the Next Accreditation System.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td>Recognizes the importance and priority of patient care, with an emphasis on the care that the patient wants and needs; demonstrates a commitment to this value</td>
<td>Is consistently able to recognize and identify own beliefs and values and their impact on practice of medicine; recognizes internal and external barriers that interfere with patient care</td>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of own values and beliefs and how they affect perspective on ethical issues; is able to effectively manage personal beliefs to avoid any negative effect on patient care</td>
<td>Develops and applies a consistent and appropriate approach to evaluating care, possible barriers, and strategies to intervene that consistently prioritizes the patient's best interest in all relationships and situations</td>
<td>Is knowledgeable about, consistently uses, and effectively manages ethical principles of medicine in general and as related to specialty care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal and communication skills</td>
<td>Identifies team-based care as the optimal approach and is able to describe and appreciate the expertise of each team member, including the patient and family</td>
<td>Actively participates in team-based care; supports activities of other team members, communicates their value to the patient and family</td>
<td>Facilitates or leads team-based patient care activities</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Seeks leadership opportunities within professional organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice-based learning and improvement</td>
<td>Describes basic concepts in clinical epidemiology, biostatistics, and clinical reasoning</td>
<td>Ranks study designs according to their ability to minimize threats to validity and to generalize to larger populations; identifies critical threats to study validity when reading a research paper or study synopsis</td>
<td>Applies a set of critical appraisal criteria to different types of research, including synopses of original research findings, systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and clinical-practice guidelines</td>
<td>Demonstrates a clinical practice that incorporates principles and basic practices of evidence-based practice and information mastery</td>
<td>Independently teaches and assesses evidence-based medicine and information-mastery techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems-based practice</td>
<td>Can describe systems theory and the characteristics of high-reliability organizations; understands the epidemiology of medical errors and the differences between medical errors, near misses, and sentinel events</td>
<td>Reports problematic devices, architecture, and processes (including errors and near misses) to supervisor, institution, or program, as appropriate</td>
<td>Analyzes the causes of adverse events through root-cause analysis</td>
<td>Can compare and contrast failure modes and effects analysis with root-cause analysis as a patient-safety tool in health care</td>
<td>Recommends and justifies characteristics of high-reliability organizations (e.g., reporting adverse events, root-cause analysis, and failure modes and effects analysis) to organizational leadership to promote patient safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The four listed milestones, which were developed by an ACGME expert panel, reflect the following expected levels of performance: level 1, typical graduating medical student; levels 2 and 3, resident during the program; level 4, graduating resident; and level 5, advanced, specialist resident or practicing physician. NA denotes not applicable, and PICO patient, population, or problem; intervention, comparison (alternative to intervention); and outcome.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td>Recognizes the importance and priority of patient care, with an emphasis on the care the patient wants and needs; demonstrates a commitment to this value</td>
<td>Is consistently able to recognize and identify own beliefs and values and their impact on practice of medicine; recognizes internal and external barriers that interfere with patient care</td>
<td>Consistently recognizes ethical issues in practice and is able to discuss, analyze, and manage such issues in common and frequent clinical situations</td>
<td>Develops and applies a consistent and appropriate approach to evaluating care, possible barriers, and strategies to intervene that consistently prioritize the patient’s best interest in all relationships and situations</td>
<td>Is knowledgeable about, consistently uses, and effectively manages ethical principles of medicine in general and as related to specialty care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal and communication skills</td>
<td>Identifies team-based care as the optimal approach and is able to describe and appreciate the expertise of each team member, including the patient and family</td>
<td>Actively participates in team-based care; supports activities of other team members, communicates their value to the patient and family</td>
<td>Facilitates or leads team-based patient care activities</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Seeks leadership opportunities within professional organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice-based learning and improvement</td>
<td>Describes basic concepts in clinical epidemiology, biostatistics, and clinical reasoning</td>
<td>Ranks study designs according to their ability to minimize threats to validity and to generalize to larger populations</td>
<td>Identifies critical threats to study validity when reading a research paper or study synopsis</td>
<td>Applies a set of critical appraisal criteria to different types of research, including synopses of original research findings, systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and clinical-practice guidelines</td>
<td>Demonstrates a clinical practice that incorporates principles and basic practices of evidence-based practice and information mastery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems-based practice</td>
<td>Can describe systems theory and the characteristics of high-reliability organizations</td>
<td>Reports problematic devices, architecture, and processes (including errors and near misses) to supervisor, institution, or program, as appropriate</td>
<td>Illustrates with examples how human factors engineering promotes patient safety (e.g., Stroop effect, perceptual illusions, easily confused medications)</td>
<td>Analyzes the causes of adverse events through root-cause analysis</td>
<td>Can compare and contrast failure modes and effects analysis with root-cause analysis as a patient-safety tool in health care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The four listed milestones, which were developed by an ACGME expert panel, reflect the following expected levels of performance: level 1, typical graduating medical student; levels 2 and 3, resident during the program; level 4, graduating resident; and level 5, advanced, specialist resident or practicing physician. NA denotes not applicable, and PICO patient, population, or problem; intervention, comparison (alternative to intervention); and outcome.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td>Recognizes the importance and priority of patient care, with an emphasis on the care that the patient wants and needs; demonstrates a commitment to this value</td>
<td>Consistently recognizes ethical issues in clinical situations</td>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of own values and beliefs and how they affect perspective on ethical issues; is able to effectively manage personal beliefs to avoid any negative effect on patient care</td>
<td>Develops and applies a consistent and appropriate approach to evaluating care, possible barriers, and strategies to intervene that consistently prioritize the patient’s best interest in all relationships and situations</td>
<td>Is knowledgeable about, consistently uses, and effectively manages ethical principles of medicine in general and as related to specialty care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal and communication skills</td>
<td>Identifies team-based care as the optimal approach and is able to describe and appreciate the expertise of each team member, including the patient and family</td>
<td>Actively participates in team-based care; supports activities of other team members, communicates their value to the patient and family</td>
<td>Facilitates or leads team-based patient care activities</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Seeks leadership opportunities within professional organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice-based learning and improvement</td>
<td>Describes basic concepts in clinical epidemiology, biostatistics, and clinical reasoning</td>
<td>Sorts study designs according to their ability to minimize threats to validity and to generalize to larger populations</td>
<td>Applies a set of critical appraisal criteria to different types of research, including synopses of original research findings, systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and clinical-practice guidelines</td>
<td>Demonstrates a clinical practice that incorporates principles and basic practices of evidence-based practice and information mastery</td>
<td>Independently teaches and assesses evidence-based medicine and information-mastery techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems-based practice</td>
<td>Can describe systems theory and the characteristics of high-reliability organizations</td>
<td>Reports problematic devices, architecture, and processes (including errors and near misses) to supervision, institution, or program, as appropriate, illustrates with examples how human factors engineering promotes patient safety (e.g., Stroop effect, perceptual illusions, easily confused medications)</td>
<td>Analyzes the causes of adverse events through root-cause analysis</td>
<td>Can compare and contrast failure modes and effects analysis with root-cause analysis as a patient-safety tool in health care</td>
<td>Recommends and justifies characteristics of high-reliability organizations (e.g., reporting adverse events, root-cause analysis, and failure modes and effects analysis) to organizational leadership to promote patient safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The four listed milestones, which were developed by an ACGME expert panel, reflect the following expected levels of performance: level 1, typical graduating medical student; levels 2 and 3, resident during the program; level 4, graduating resident; and level 5, advanced, specialist resident or practicing physician. NA denotes not applicable, and PICO patient, population, or problem; intervention, comparison (alternative to intervention); and outcome.
# Systems-Based Practice

## Work in inter-professional teams to enhance patient safety — Systems-based Practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Describes team members’ roles in maintaining patient safety</td>
<td>• Identifies and reports errors and near-misses</td>
<td>• Describes potential sources of system failure in clinical care such as minor, major, and sentinel events</td>
<td>• Participates in a team-based approach to medical error analysis</td>
<td>• Engages in scholarly activity regarding error analysis and patient safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:** Not yet achieved Level 1 □
Quality Improvement Projects
Quality Improvement Projects?

- Participation in quality improvement
- Departmental and multidisciplinary
- Error reporting, recognizing & analyzing system errors
- No *explicit* ACGME requirement for QI “project”
Summary: Quality and Safety in Residency

- Role model quality patient care by faculty
- Ensure appropriate supervision
- Involve residents in departmental and interdisciplinary quality and safety initiatives, and departmental and institutional quality committees
- Encourage residents to report errors, system glitches
- Encourage their suggestions for improved patient care and access and system issues
- Remind residents that suggestions and their implementation are QI projects
New ABPN Patient Safety Activity Requirement

- Part of the 2015 ABMS MOC Standards.
- Begins for diplomates certified or recertified in 2016.
- One-time requirement as diplomates enter continuous MOC.
- Diplomates must complete an ABPN-approved Patient Safety Activity prior to certification or in the first 3-year period of the Continuous MOC Program.
- The ABPN will give credit for any patient safety activity/course developed and given by accredited institutions (e.g., hospitals, clinics, training programs) or any patient safety activity/course on the ABPN approved products list.